## Acme Coke 11236 S. Torrence Ave. Chicago IL 60617



## acmecoke.com

Document archive

Petrography Lab proposal documents Dated: 1974-1977

	CAPITAL E	XPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION SUMM	IARY
			TEMP. NO.
DIVISION-PLANT			ITEM NOCAPITAL PLAN:
Corporate PROJECT TITLE		•	TOTAL CAPITAL AMOUNT IN CAPITAL PLAN:
Coal and Coke Proc	es Laboratory		PROFIT PLAN:
COMPLETION TIME		OJECT SPONSOR	FIRST REVIEW:
(MONTHS FROM APPROVAL)	6 months A.	Mertdogan and C. Lin	SECOND REVIEW:
CAPITAL FUNDS TO BE APPROV		DESCRIPTION AND J	USTIFICATION:
Land	\$		
Buildings			oal petrography and other
Equipment	94,655		gs have become recognized
Less: Cash value of			means of evaluating the for coke making process.
facilities replaced	3		king process is no longer a
	\$ 94,655		predictable. Our company
			lion tons of coal a year in
			ons, at a cost of about close
		to \$50 million. He	owever, we neither have
TOTAL INVESTMENT:			y facilities, nor spend
Capital Funds	\$ 94,655		field which is very vital
Werking capital			still depend on trial and
TO THE PARTY OF TH	s 94,655	error method to ma	ke our coke.
Total	\$ 94,655	In addition, the e	nergy crisis has brought
			e a number of coals that had
			o public or not been considered
			lurgical use. Coal with good
JUSTIFICATION:			e making becomes less and less
Discounted			igher and higher in price.
Cash Flow Return			be expected to continue into
			. Our company does need a
Payback Period			evaluate coals before they mplex. To set up such a coking
			is both essential and urgent
			r example, as little as one-
RELATED EXPENSES:			t savings in our coking operations
Expense:			ization of coals available to
Project - Tax	\$ 4,740	us and/or due to i	mprovement of the quality of
Start-up	**************************************	The state of the s	pay for the entire proposed
A STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.			a year. In fact, several
Total	s <u>4,740</u>		annually is a very conservative
		estimation.	
4			
		APPROVED BY	
The state of the s	TLE	SIGNATURE	DATE
Director  Corporate Resear	rch		
Director of	.cn		
2. Engineering and	Research		
Vice President			
3. Engineering and	Research		/s
President and Ch			
4. Operating Office			
Chairman and Chi			(**
ecutive_Office	r		·
N1:922-D			

### EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION

SCHEDILLE A

	20-2 A	ii .
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT A	ND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	
ROJECT TITLE	DIVISION-PLANT	
Coal and Coke Process Laboratory	Corporate	
EPARTMENT OR COST CENTER	BUILDING	FLOOR
Corporate Research	Technical Center	

PROJECT NO.

When evaluating coals or coal blends for coking potential, the plant operator is basically seeking answers to three questions: 1) Will the physical and chemical properties of the coke produced meet the needs of the blast furnace? 2) Will the coking pressure be below the established safety limit? 3) Will there be sufficient contraction, after the completion of the coking process, to allow easy pushing?

Can bench-scale testings provide valuable answers to the above questions? The reply should be "positively". Using bench-scale tests to evaluate possible coking potential is a developing science and somewhat like "weather forecast". We could not get 100 percent correct answer from prediction, but nobody dares to deny the importance of weather forecast. In addition, bench-scale testings are tools for the screening of coals or coal blends, and they can rule out most of the unfavorable combinations. In this way, the real coke oven trial runs may be cut down to a minimum.

The following are the brief descriptions for the proposed equipment.

#### Automatic Microscope 1.

ō

This equipment is for petrographic study only. From petrographic study, we can quantitatively determine the amount of each component in coal. Therefore, we would be able to avoid the weathered coal or coal with undesired constituents, since they never make good coke.

Coke should be strong enough for use in the blast furnace. Coking pressure should not exceed the safety limit of coke oven. Coke stability and peak coking pressure can be predicted within fair accuracy on the basis of the information gathered through microscopic investigation of the coal blend.

#### Sole-Heated Oven 2.

A right combination of coal blend should have enough contraction after the completion of the coking process to allow easy push. Usually, the more the volatile matter is, the more is the contraction. The Sole-Heated Oven provides information on the expansion or contraction of a coal blend under specified carbonization conditions.

#### Gieseler Plastometer 3.

Gieseler Plastometer can determine the relative plastic behavior of a coal blend when heated under prescribed conditions in the absence of air. It helps us to select compatible coals for blending and optimum proportioning of coals in blend for the production of good blast furnace coke. It can also predict coke stability.

#### Apparatus for Free-Swelling Index

Coal is heated in a crucible under specified conditions. The shape of the coke button that forms indicate the caking and free swelling characteristics of a coal blend.

### EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION

	AND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	PROJECT NO.
ROJECT TITLE	Corporate	
Coal and Coke Process Laboratory  EPARTMENT OR COST CENTER	BUILDING	FLOOR
Corporate Research	Technical Center	

#### 5. Grindability Tester

Usually, the finer the coal particle is, the higher is the stability of coke produced. The grindability tester determines the relative grindability or ease of pulverization of a coal in comparison with coals chosen as standard.

#### Calorimeter

With calorimeter, we can measure the heating value of coals and coke. We can also figure out the heating value of coal-oil slurry, fuel oil or other injectants that we use in our blast furnaces.

The proposed laboratory which is estimated at \$99,395 would include almost all of the apparatus necessary for a coal and coke process laboratory except an 18-inch test oven.

18-inch test oven can be considered as a pilot plant bridging the laboratory scale apparatus and the commercial coke ovens. It is the most expensive and the most useful equipment in this field. It provides information of real coking process for a specific coal blend, except in a small scale. The preliminary estimate for 18-inch test oven, a new building to house it, and other related expenses is \$166,650. The 18-inch test oven would comprise second stage of the coal and coke laboratory. A formal proposal will be prepared for an 18-inch test oven in the future.

At present, there is no alternative way for us to determine the coking characteristics of coals and physical properties of coke produced from these coal blends reliably and promptly.

It is true that the Illinois State Geological survey has a fully equipped coal and coke process laboratory. However, the Geological Survey is interested in promoting use of raw materials produced in Illinois. Therefore, as long as, we have Illinois Coal in our coking coal blend, we may receive their services, otherwise their services may be denied to us. Another disadvantage of using services of the Geological Survey is that there are considerable delays involved in performing tests requested by Interlake on account of their busy schedule.

Another alternative would be to use the services of commercial testing laboratories. However, the bench-scale laboratory tests performed by these laboratories are restricted and comprise only fluidity tests and calorimeter tests. The fees charged for these tests are not excessive. However, the reliability of the test results and the promptness in providing answers to pressing questions seem to favor setting up of our own coal and coke process laboratory.

Therefore, with the help of the first stage laboratory, we would be able to screen most of the unfavorable coal combinations, and meanwhile, depend on the outside sources for 18-inch oven tests.

In summary, a coal and coke laboratory is both essential and urgent to Interlake. The cost of the entire laboratory is expected to be paid back within a short time, probably less than a year.

## EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION SCHEDULE B

### CALCULATION OF EXPENDITURE REQUIRED AND EXPENDITURE PAYOUT PERIOD

PROJECT NO.

PROJECT TITLE		and animal animal animal animal and a firm	DIVISION-PLANT		
		Laboratory	Corporate  EXPENDITURE AMOUNT ACCOUNT		
SUB-ACCOUNT NUMBER	CHECK DIGIT NUMBER*	DESCRIPTION	CAPITAL	EXPENSE	ACCOUNT DISTRIBUTION
		First Stage (1)			
001		Automatic Microscope (Zeiss)	\$ 25,000		
002		Sole-Heated Oven (To be Built By Res. Dept.)	20,000		
003		Gieseler Plastometer (Commercial Testing Eng.)	24,000		
004		Apparatus for Free Swelling Index	200		
Q05		Grindability Tester (Preiser Scientific Corp.)	3,850		
006		Calorimeter (Sergent-Welch)	13,000		•
007	å.	Contingency (10% of above)	8,605		
800		Tax		\$ 4,740	
		Total	\$ 94,655	\$ 4,740	
			*		

Payout Period: 1st and 2nd Quarters of 1977.

## (1) Basis of Estimates

The prices were obtained through telephone conversations, and are subject to change.

### EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION SCHEDULE B

CALCULATION OF EXPENDITURE REQUIRED AND EXPENDITURE PAYOUT PERIOD DIVISION-PLANT PROJECT NO.

ACCOUNT

DISTRIBUTION

EXPENDITURE AMOUNT

\$166,100

\$ 550

	ENI ENDITO	555500071611	CHECK DIGIT	SUB-ACCOUNT
EXPENSE	CAPITAL	DESCRIPTION	NUMBER *	NUMBER
	ond Stage (2)	Tentative Proposal for Sec		
•	\$100,000	18-inch Test Oven (To be Built up by Res. Dept.		009
	40,000	New Building		010
•	2,000	Office Supplies		011
	9,000	Other Laboratory Supplies - Balances, Pans, Ovens		012
	15,100	Contingency (10% of above)		013
\$ 550		Tax		014

Total

#### (2) Basis of Estimates

PROJECT TITLE

Coal and Coke Process Laboratory

SUB-ACCOUNT CHECK DIGIT

These prices are rough estimations, and will be reestimated more closely at the time a formal proposal is presented for the second stage of the coal and coke process laboratory.

# EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION

PROJECT TITLE

SEFARTMENT OR COST CENTER

Coal and Coke Process Laboratory

Corporate Research Department

SCHEDULE C PROJECT JUSTIFICATION	PROJECT NO.
DIVISION-PLANT	
Corporate	

Technical Center

The need for cheap and better quality coke is becoming more and more important with the increasing emphasis on lower coke rates, higher blast furnace production rates, and lowquality coals. To meet the future demands, a sufficient knowledge should be acquired of the properties of raw materials used in coke making, as well as the coking process itself. Actually, our company is completely lack of this type of research at this moment, and ten to fifteen years behind the iron and steel industry. It is now the time to set-up such laboratory facilites.

At present there is no alternative way to provide the necessary information on coal and coal blends reliably and promptly.

The proposed first stage laboratory facilities encompass minimum apparatus to do the screening work. After ruling out most of the unfavorable combinations, we can depend on the outside sources for 18-inch oven test. This way we would have a chance to improve our coking process and quality of coke produced.

We believe the potential savings that are achievable from the selection and best use of the coals available to us would amply justify to proceed with the first stage laboratory immediately and with the second stage laboratory in the near future.

TO: J. DUNCAN

Dallace &

FROM: R. SPAETH

SUBJECT : COAL TESTING LABORATORY

THE COST TO SET UP A COAL TESTING LABORATORY IS ESTIMATED AS \$339,112. IN SPITE OF THE ADVANTAGES QUICKER RESULTS AND CONTROL OF TESTING PRIORITY, IT IS MY OPINION THAT THE COST FOR RUNNING 2-8 COAL SAMPLES COULD NOT BE JUSTIFIED, IT IS, OF COURSE, POSSIBLE THAT THE WORK COULD BE DON'E AT THE TECH CENTER IF THE EQUIPMENT IS PURCHASED. THE COST OF THIS OPTION EXCLUSIVE OF LABOR AND INSTALLATION IS ABOUT \$ 212, 485.00 MR. NAGAN HAS SEEN THE NEED FOR BETTER PROCESS CONTROL ANALYSIS, IF HIS REQUEST IS JOINED WITH THE COAL TESTING LAB REQUIREMENTS A LABORATORY MIGHT BE JUSTIFIED. IF WE ASSUME THAT FUTURE POLLUTION CONTROL AND HEALTH STANDARDS WILL BECOME STRICTER AND THAT SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS WILL BE MORE THAN THE TECH CENTER COULD HANDLE THEN A NEW LAB MUST BE STARTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. ATTACHED IS A LIST OF TESTING REQUIRE-

MENTS IN THE ORDER OF MR. MIHRES PRIDRITY.

AND ARREST

THE EQUIPMENT, ESTIMATED COST, TEST TIME AND MAN-POWER REQUIREMENTS, SPACE AND UTILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH TEST IS LISTED. NOTE THAT SOME EQUALENT, MANIEWELL AND SPACE IS DUPLICATED AS APPITIONAL TOSTS ARE SUCCESS. PAY FATER ARE. THESE EFFECTIVE 8-1-73, LABORATORY FT COSTS I WERE ESTIMATED AT \$45/FT AND SAMPLE ROOM COSTS AT \$30/FTY, SUPERVISOR COST USED WAS \$15,000/1/R. INSTALLATION COSTS ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE COSTS. TOTAL MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS: 1 SAMPOUR J.C. 7, 2 CHEMISTS J.C. 15 MND ONE SUPER VISOR. THE SAMPLE COULD BE ELIMINATED BY HAVING A CHEMIST DO HIS WORK, COST 785, 42-TOTAL SPACE REQUIREMENTS: 120 SQ.FT. SAMPLIE SPACE @ \$30/FT2 = \$3600 1745 " " CHEMIST " @ \$45/57 = \$78,525 \$82,125 TOTAL 1865 " " TOTAL EQUIPMENT COST ! \$7/2,985 THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SUM OF THE TOTALS HERE AND THE LAB COST ON THE FIRST PAGE IS \$85800. THE WAGES OF A SAMPLEK, SOME COMMENTS ON THE OVENS AND THE PRICES GIVEN: THE SOLE HEATED OVEN PRICE IS FROM A VENDOIL. ANOTHER SOURCE SAYS THAT THE OVEN CAN BE HOMEPHOS FOR ABOUT / \$15,000, THE SAME SOURCE SINS

\$100,000 IS A HIGH SIDE ESTIMATE OF BUILDING A MOR-

RELE WILL OVEN.

1 BACK DENSITY - SHOVEE n for, contin 150, cubic For 7 or 750, PLATFORM SOLLE ~ \$500 TOTAL \$1010 SPACE 40 F7.2 COST \$1200 TEST TIME - IS MINES MANPOWER - 1 SAMPLER J.C.7 + 1 SUPERVISOR - 123555 TOTAL COST ~ \$25790 + SUPERVISORS OFFICE 2 PROXIMATE ANALYSIS - DRYING OVEN - \$100, BALANCE - \$1000, VOLATILE MUFFLET -\$250, POTENTIONETER - \$500, Z COAL CRUSHERS Chicago Plant E \$2000, THERMOCOUPLES \$50, ASH MUFFLE - \$250, \_\_ 2. TEMPCOMETERS \$300, CRUCIBLES ~ \$100, DESICCATOR- \$100, EITHER PLATINIM CRUCIBLES + CHEMICALS ~ \$1000 OR LECO FURNICE + TITRATOR - \$3500 OR BOTH - fulsoo MISC. LIGHTING, HOODS, GAS, OXYGEN, ELECTIVETY DISTILLED WATER TOTAL 15650, 8150, 8150 SPACE 225 FT2 COST \$10,125 THUT TIME 5-8 HRS - OVERAMENT MANTONER - 1 CHEMIST L.C. 15 + 1 SUPERIOR SERV - \$25,211 MAINTENANCE - 1 DAY/GHONTHS TOTAL COST \$ 44,486. LESS PRICK BURICATION \$29,986 WOLK - FURNACE \$750, BALANCE ~ \$1000 V, 5009 WEIGHT - \$151, 2 COAL CRUSHERS E \$2000 V, SCREENS-\$15 TOTAL - \$3840 LESS PRIOR PURLICATION-\$825 SPACE 30 FT V COST \$1350

	TEST TIME - 20 MINUTES
	MANPONER - 1 CHEMIST 1.C, IST + 1 SUPERVISORY - \$25,211
	MISC - ELECTRICITY REQUIREDY
	TOTAL COST \$30,401 LESS PRIOR DUPLICATION \$825
× 1	SCREEN TEST LARGE DRYING OVEN E \$2500, PANS - \$300, BALANCE - \$200
	WHEEL BARROW - \$75, SHOVEL - \$101, BROOM - \$15, SCREENS
Tach.	Center -\$300 TOTAL -\$3400
has	SPACE 100 FT COST \$3000 LESS PRIOR PUP - \$1800
	TEST TIME - YHRS
	MANPOWER - 1 SAMPLER 1.C.7/+ I SUPERVISOR / ~ \$23580/4R
	MISC. LIGHTING, ELECTRICITY, DUST HOOD
	TOTAL COST \$29980 LESS PRIOR DUPLICATION \$5200
y 5	TUMBLER TEST SCREENS - \$300 V, WHEEL BARROW - \$75V, PANS - \$300 V
<b>.</b>	BALANCE - \$200 V, BROOM - \$15V, SHOVEL-\$10V,
Tex	TUMBLER \$4480, OVEN E \$2500 V, TOTAL -\$7880)
has	LESS PRIOR DUPLICATION \$4480
	SPACE 100 FT V COST \$3000 1255 PUP \$600
	TEST TIME - 1/2 HRS
	MANPONER - 1 SAMPLER 1.C. 7 V, 1 SUPERVISORY - \$23524
	MISC. LIGHTING, ELECTRICITY.
	TOTAL COST \$34,460 LESS PRIOR DUP. \$5080
16	TRACE ANALYSIS OF ASH ATOMIC ABSORPTION \$ 11,835, HOT PLATES \$200,
	hings flant MISC. GASES, AIR, DISTILLED WATER / OR
	7-35 17

		TRIPLE MAN HOURS, HOT PLATES \$200, DOUGLE SPACE
		MISC CHEMICALS + EQUIPMENT, HOODS
		COST \$ 12,035
		SPACE - 200 FT OR 400 FT COST \$1000 OR \$18,000
		MANPOWER - 1 CHEMIST J.C. 15/, I SUFERVISOR V, ~ \$25,211/
		TEST TIME - 8 HRS OR 24 HRS
		TOTAL COST \$46,246 LESS PRIOR DUP, \$21,035
7		?
7.	SOLE HEATED OVEN	OVEN \$70,000, HAMMER MILL \$3655, CONEN\$1501,
		DRYING OVEN E \$2500/, VIBRATOR + SCREENS - \$450,
		MISC. LIGHTING, SHOUELS, HOODS, 440 ELECTRIC, BRUSHES.
		COST \$76,755 LESS PRIOR DUP. \$74,105
		SPACE - 400 FT2 COST \$18,000
	199	
		MAN POWER - 1 CHEMIST A.C. ISV, I SUPERVISOR /, - TOSON
		TEST TIME 8 HRS.
		MAINTENANCE - 1 DAY/ZWEEKS
		TOTAL COST \$119,966 LESS PRICE DUP. \$92,105
8	GIESELLIC	GIESCLER PLASTOMETER 35800, RIFFLE \$150, CALLAGE
		SCREENS ~ \$301, MISC. ELECTRICITY, LIGHTING, HOS.
	i	COST \$7010 LESS PRIOR DUP - \$5980
		SPACE 20 FT COST \$900
		MANPOWER - 1 CHEMIST LC. 15V, ISUPERVISORY, \$ \$25,211
		_ TEST TIME 5.8 HRS
		TOTAL COST \$33, 121 LESS PRIOR DUP, \$6500
		The state of the s

a	MOVEABLE WALL OVEN OVEN - E\$100,000, MINER E\$2000, HAMMER MILL	1-15
	SHOVEL - \$100, SCREENS - \$300 V, DRYING OVEN E	
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	COST \$108,465 LESS PRIOR DUP. \$102,	000
	SPACE 900 FT COST \$40,500	
	MANPOWER -2 - CHEMISTS AC. IS, I SUIL RVISOR!	
	COST - \$35,422 LESS PRIOR DUP - \$10,211	
	TEST TIME - 8 HRS - OVERNIGHT	
	MAINTENANCE - 10AY / ZWECKS	
4	TOTAL COST \$184,387 LESS PRIOR DUP \$	152,711
p		
	V MEANS DUPLICATE ITEM OCCURS IN A HIGHER PRIORI	
	E " ESTIMATED COST FROM OLD PRICES	
	" " PRICES WITHIN 2 YEAR.	5
	NO QUALIFICATION MERNS A RECENT QUOTE	
	* MERNS EQUIPMENT ON HAND AT CHICAGO FURNACE.	
	O THERE MAY WELL BE REASON TO RUN SULFURS	
•	BY BOTH LECO AND A.S.T.M. METHODS. IF ONE	
	METHOD ONLY IS REQUIRED SOME COST COULD BE SKUED	
	PETHOD ONLY 13 REMAINED	
		1

----

----

Mr. Edward Wade Colonial East Apts. 5-D 1433 East Walnut St. Carbondale, Ill. 62901

Dean Mr. Wade:

fighting for it.

E- -

Thank you very much for your letter and you vesume. I am sorry for my belated response.

Our planning Coal and Coke Processing

Laboratory, which involved more than quarter

million dollar spending, has just being turned

down by the plant. However, we are still

At this moment, I could not tell

you anything definite. I wish you god luck somewhere else Sincerely yours, C. Lin Senior Rosearch Engineer.

Engineer	C. Lin
Date Man	ch 3 1977

# PHONE CALL AND VISIT REPORT

Galist	
Visiters MV. Raymond Zimmerman	Title Vice President, Paul Weir Co.
	Title
	Title
Representing	
Project to be charged DI-006-000	
Purpose of Visit To get more	information about The Value
of a petrographic labore	atory for Coal
Call	
Accomplished by Visit	
Unfortunately, Mr Zimmer	man was out of town
He would be back no	et weak Hazeren (a
obtained a consumo b	is toos and the second
Draca to on	is manuscript which he
interest do Feb 2 A	LME Meoting from his
Coctony That man	uscript would be you
helpful if we have t	he chance to have our
own petrographic laborat	org
Future Action	
I will call him as	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pain this coming Tuesday

PHONE CALL AND VISIT  REPORT
Title Vice President  Title Wein Paul Co.
Title
Representing
Project to be charged D1-006-006
Purpose of Visit To get additional information about from
to set up a petrographic laboratory after reading
his manuscript used on Feb. 2 AIME Meeting
Accomplished by Visit I asked him:
1) What is Composition-Balance Index?
(2) What is Strength Index?
Where Ca O get these information or Computer
programs?
3) Do you have, and can you send the some
of the other paper you present somewhow else?
He told me.
(S Can get these information from a paper Called
Putter Use of Petragraphic study for Cake Stability".
1976, RG Moses
Published by Bituminous Coal Research Inc.
350 Hochberg Road.
NAmerica Villo Do.

Engineer C. Lin

Date March 11, 1977